UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/537,530	10/11/2005	Antoni Gienic	P-1257	4534
1695 SCOTT R. COX			EXAMINER	
LYNCH, COX, GILMAN & MAHAN, P.S.C. 500 WEST JEFFERSON STREET			YI, STELLA KIM	
SUITE 2100	FERSON STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOUISVILLE, KY 40202		1791		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/537,530	GIENIC ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stella Yi	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oct 2a)     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)     This 3)     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accention and policinate may not request that any objection to the original description.	vn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the E				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/11/2005, 06/29/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/537,530 Page 2

Art Unit: 1791

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 7-16, 17-19, 21-23, 28-31, and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ROBINS (3,409,579) and in further view of "Translation from ROEMPP's Chemie Lexikon" (10<sup>th</sup> Edition).

Regarding claims 1-3, 12-14, 16, 17, 19, 21, 22, 31, and 35 ROBINS discloses a process for producing shaped bodies in foundry technology (Col.1, lines 34-42), which comprises:

preparing a composition comprising blending a novolac phenolic resin in solid form, a polyisocyanate, and a refractory material; and molding the composition to form a shaped body; and contacting the shaped foundry mix with a tertiary amine until the binder has cured (Col.3, lines 48-62; Col.6, lines 50-58; Col.12, lines 34-36).

ROBINS discloses that the blending of the said composition is carried out under ambient conditions (Col.2, lines 44-45) but does not explicitly disclose blending the said materials below the melting point of the phenolic resin. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to blend the said materials below the melting point of the phenolic resin prior to molding the said composition in order to avoid the curing of the resin before shaping of the composition. ROBINS does not explicitly

disclose curing the said composition with heat above the melting point of the phenolic resin. However, ROEMPP discloses that novolac phenolic resins are cured faster by cross-linking at increased temperatures of 140-180°C (Page 4), which is above the melting point of the phenolic resin. ROBINS discloses that phenolic resins have been widely used as foundry binders and that considerable heating is required to cause the novolac resins to become cross-linked (Col.3, lines 23-24) and that rapid curing of the composition is necessary (Col.2, lines 43-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to raise the temperature of the shaped body to above the melting point of the phenolic resin in order to cure the composition.

Regarding claim 2 and 23, ROBINS discloses that the said refractory material is mixed with the phenolic resin to produce a mixture prior to addition of the polyisocyanate (Col.3, lines 57-61; Col.6, lines 52-58).

Regarding claims 7, 18, ROBINS discloses the production of the shaped body being carried out without addition of a solvent (Col.3, lines 61-75; Col.7, lines 10-15).

Regarding claims 8, 9, 10, 11, and 28-30, ROBINS discloses a liquid aromatic polyisocyanate (Col.5, lines 62-75) comprising an isocyanate having at least 2 isocyanate groups per molecule (Col.3, lines 54-55) is dissolved in a solvent in which the phenolic resin is insoluble or sparingly soluble (Col. 4, lines 57-60; Col.6, lines 35-38).

Regarding claim 15, ROBINS discloses that adding a catalyst to the composition (Col.1, lines 60-64).

Application/Control Number: 10/537,530

Art Unit: 1791

3. Claims 4, 5, 20, 24-26, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ROBINS (3,409,579) and "Translation from ROEMPP's Chemie Lexikon" (10<sup>th</sup> Edition) as applied to claims 1-3, 7-16, 17-19, 21-23, 28-31, and 35 above, and in further view of EL-DEMALLAWY et al. (2003/0183364).

Page 4

The teachings of ROBINS and ROEMPP's are applied as described above for claims 1-3, 7-16, 17-19, 21-23, 28-31, and 35.

Regarding claims 4, 5, 20, 24-26, and 32-34 ROBINS discloses sequentially admixing the binder components with sand or refractory materials but is silent to the type of refractory material. However, EL-DEMALLAWY et al. discloses hollow microspheres comprising aluminosilicate with a content ranging from 20-50% by weight that is mixed with phenolic resin and polyisocyanate components to produce a mould (shaped body) (Page 2, [0018], [0024], [00022]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the process for producing shaped bodies of ROBINS to include the aluminosilicate of EL-DEMALLAY et al. in place of the said sand aggregates of ROBINS in order to produce shaped bodies with thermally insulating properties that would manifest excellent heat retention (Page 2, [0020]).

4. Claims 6 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ROBINS (3,409,579) and "Translation from ROEMPP's Chemie Lexikon" (10<sup>th</sup> Edition) as applied above to claims 1-3, 7-16, 17-19, 21-23, 28-31, and 35, and in further view of MIKI (6,372,032).

The teachings of ROBINS and ROEMPP's are applied as described above for claims 1-3, 7-16, 17-19, 21-23, 28-31, and 35.

Regarding claims 6 and 27, ROBINS is silent to adding an exothermic constituent to the composition. However, MIKI discloses a process for producing a foundry exothermic assembly by mixing an exothermic constituent such as an oxidizable metal with a refractory aggregate and phenol-urethane resin (phenoli resin and polyisocyanate) (Col.1, lines 5-14; Col.2, lines 58-61; Col.3, lines 5-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified the process for producing shaped bodies of ROBINS to include an exothermic constituent of MIKI in order to produce shaped bodies that would enable high-yield production of excellent quality castings substantially free of defects such as defective castings (Col.3, lines 39-41).

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stella Yi whose telephone number is 571-270-5123. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/537,530 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SY

/Christina Johnson/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1791